

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated June 14, 2005 claims 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, and 18 have been amended. Claims 19 and 24 have been cancelled. Reconsideration of the claims in view of these amendments is respectfully requested.

Claims 16 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In response claims 16 and 19 have been cancelled.

Claims 2, 4 – 8, and 10 – 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. In particular claim 10, line and 2 and claims 17 and 24 were rejected as indefinite for failing to clarify as to the transverse member providing opposite ends of the frame with what element. In response, claims 10 and 17 have been amended to clarify that the first and second transverse members provide opposite ends of the frame relative to the side members. As noted above, claim 24 has been cancelled.

Claim 11, line 4 has been amended to clarify that a connecting section couples a base member to each lateral arm. Claim 8, line 2 has been amended to rectify the cited antecedent basis problem. Claim 12 has been amended to clarify the language such that the first U-shaped member comprises the first and third lateral arm and the second U-shaped member comprises the second and fourth lateral arm sections. Claim 14 has been amended to rectify the antecedent basis problem with respect to “base member” as suggested in the Action. Claim 17 has been amended to clarify that the “away from” language is with respect to the first and second lateral sections in the second U-shaped member.

Claim 18 has been amended to clarify that at least one connecting section is angled.

Appl. No. 09/868,781
Amdt. Dated September 14, 2005
Reply to Office Action of June 14, 2005

Conclusion

In response to the Action claims 16, 19, and 24 have been cancelled. Claims 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, and 18 have been amended to bring these claims into conformance with 35 U.S.C. § 112. In view of the amendments claims 2, 4 – 8, 10 – 15, 17, 18, and 20 – 23 are believed to be in condition for allowance and a Notice of Allowance for these claims is respectfully requested.

No fees are believed necessary to enter this amendment. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be due on this application to Deposit Account 17-0055. The Commissioner is also authorized to treat this amendment and any future reply in this matter requiring a petition for an extension of time as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time as provided by 37 CFR § 136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

David Horne

By: 

Terri S. Flynn
Quarles & Brady LLP
Reg. No. 41,756
Attorney for Applicant
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497
414/277-5229